

From: [Leidy, Robert](#)
To: [Douglas, Jason](#)
Subject: RE: Kondolf and Ashby analysis of Sonoita Creek Ranch
Date: Thursday, April 07, 2016 9:59:00 AM
Attachments: [Revised 7-27-2015 FINAL DOCUMENT Sonoita Creek Technical Memo.pdf](#)

Hi Jason,

I have attached the revised final analysis, dated July 27, 2015, which is fully releasable. Hopefully this will address your issues. I

Let me know if you have any further questions.

Best,

Rob

Robert A. Leidy, Ph.D.
Ecologist/Enforcement Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Wetlands Office (WTR-2-4)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3463

From: Douglas, Jason [mailto:jason_douglas@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Leidy, Robert <Leidy.Robert@epa.gov>
Subject: Kondolf and Ashby analysis of Sonoita Creek Ranch

Dr. Leidy,

Good day to you.

I'm plowing through a near-final version of the Final Rosemont BO, and have been wondering how to deal with the subject document, which is:

Kondolf, M. and J. Ashby. 2015. Technical Memorandum: Conceptual Design for Sonoita Creek, AZ, Technical Review Support (Order Number EP-G149-00241). PG Environmental, LLC. February 18, 2015. 23 pp.

The document is marked as being deliberative, for discussion only, and not to be distributed. The dilemma is that it's before me (and therefore, USFWS) and thus, it's in our Administrative

Record for the consultation.

Will it present a challenge to your agency's own proceedings if (actually, the APA would require me to say "when") I consider the contents of Kondolf and Ashby (2015) in our BO?

Thank you very much in advance.

Jason M. Douglas
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Arizona Ecological Services Office
201 North Bonita Street, Suite 141
Tucson, Arizona 85745
(520) 670-6150, extension 226 (voice)
(520) 670-6155 (fax)
<http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/>